

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/02/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,931	08/04/2003	Takumi Mikawa	740819-1012	3791
22204 75	90 07/02/2004	EXAMINER		INER
NIXON PEABODY, LLP 401 9TH STREET, NW			WOJCIECHOWICZ, EDWARD JOSEPH	
SUITE 900 WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2128			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2815	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/632,931	MIKAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Edward J Wojciechowicz	2815				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespond nc address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8-4-03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The structure associated with this claim recitation is not understood in that the area of the memory cell placement region is not precisely defined, the specification broadly defining this area as the area where the capacitor elements are generally placed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicants' admitted prior art as disclosed in Figs 11A and 11B, and as described in the specification, as applied to claim*** above, and further in view of Hwang et al. As seen in the admitted prior art, the broad structure where the lower capacitor electrodes are buried in an insulating film and planarized with the film, is known.

The inventive structure appears to revolve around the specific size of the lower capacitor electrode, whereby the electrode is sized so to have an effective width of less than 1.2 microns so that a distance from an arbitrary position on the upper surface of the lower electrode to a nearest end portion is equal to or less than 0.6 microns.

The reference to Hwang teaches this feature in a capacitor structure whereby the lower electrode (16) of the capacitor is made equal to or less than 0.6 microns, as claimed. In addition, the capacitor structure shown in Hwang is also used in a ferroelectric capacitor and formed of platinum, as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,931 Page 3

Art Unit: 2815

The other features of the claimed invention including the use of contact plugs formed under the

capacitor electrode, and dummy capacitor elements are taught in the admitted prior art structures.

The motivation to use reduced electrode size in the prior art devices would be, as described in

Hwang, to reduce the adverse effects of "rabbit ear" formation on top of the Pt electrodes, thus making

the capacitor electrodes more suitable for use in ferroelectric devices. See the discussion at col. 26, lines

50-68 of Hwang.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Edward J Wojciechowicz whose telephone number is 571-27-1739. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday through Wednesday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom

Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

Edward J Wojciechowicz Primary Examiner Art Unit 2815

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EW: ew

EDWARD WOJC:ECHOWICZ PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2500